

The American Journal of Sports Medicine

<http://ajs.sagepub.com/>

Prevalence and Incidence of New Meniscus and Cartilage Injuries After a Nonoperative Treatment Algorithm for ACL Tears in Skeletally Immature Children: A Prospective MRI Study

Håvard Moksnes, Lars Engebretsen and May Arna Risberg

Am J Sports Med 2013 41: 1771 originally published online June 14, 2013

DOI: 10.1177/0363546513491092

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://ajs.sagepub.com/content/41/8/1771>

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

On behalf of:



American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine

Additional services and information for *The American Journal of Sports Medicine* can be found at:

Email Alerts: <http://ajs.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

Subscriptions: <http://ajs.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

Reprints: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

Permissions: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

>> [Version of Record](#) - Aug 2, 2013

[OnlineFirst Version of Record](#) - Jun 14, 2013

[What is This?](#)

Prevalence and Incidence of New Meniscus and Cartilage Injuries After a Nonoperative Treatment Algorithm for ACL Tears in Skeletally Immature Children

A Prospective MRI Study

Håvard Moksnes,^{*†} PT, MSc, Lars Engebretsen,[‡] MD, PhD,
and May Arna Risberg,[†] PT, PhD

Investigation performed at the Norwegian Research Center for Active Rehabilitation, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Department of Sport Medicine, and the Department of Orthopaedics Oslo University Hospital

Background: The increased risk of long-term osteoarthritis from concomitant injuries to the menisci or cartilage after an anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury in adults is well established. In skeletally immature children, ACL reconstruction is often recommended to reduce the risk of new intra-articular injuries. However, the prevalence and incidence of new injuries after nonoperative treatment of ACL injuries in children are unknown.

Purpose: To prospectively investigate the incidence of new injuries to the menisci and joint cartilage in nonoperatively treated, skeletally immature children with a known ACL injury by use of bilateral 3.0-T MRI.

Study Design: Case series; Level of evidence, 4.

Methods: Forty skeletally immature children with a ruptured ACL (41 knees) followed a nonoperative treatment algorithm and were evaluated with bilateral 3.0-T MRI on 2 occasions (MRI1 and MRI2). The intra-articular structures were analyzed by 2 independent MRI radiologists. Monitoring of participation in physical activities was accomplished through a monthly online activity survey. Descriptive statistics and frequencies were extracted from the scoring forms and compared using the Fisher exact test.

Results: Fourteen girls (35%) and 26 boys (65%) with a mean age of 11.0 ± 1.4 years at the time of injury were included. Time from injury to the final follow-up was 3.8 ± 1.4 years. Eighty-eight percent of the ACL-deficient children confirmed monthly participation in pivoting sports and/or in physical education classes in school. The prevalence of meniscus injuries in the 28 nonreconstructed knees was 28.5% at MRI1 and MRI2, and the incidence of new meniscus and cartilage injuries in the nonreconstructed knees from MRI1 to MRI2 was 3.6%. Thirteen children underwent ACL reconstruction, with a prevalence of meniscus procedures of 46.2%. The incidence of new meniscus injuries from diagnostic MRI to final follow-up was 19.5%. Surgical treatments for meniscus injuries were performed in 8 of the 41 knees.

Conclusion: The incidence of new injuries to menisci and joint cartilage was low between MRI1 and MRI2 in the 28 nonreconstructed knees. Thirty-two percent of the knees required ACL reconstruction, and 19.5% required meniscus surgeries during the 3.8 ± 1.4 years of follow-up from injury. Further follow-up is needed to evaluate the long-term knee health in these children.

Keywords: anterior cruciate ligament; skeletally immature; meniscus; cartilage; magnetic resonance imaging

Previous studies have suggested that persons who have suffered an anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury have an increased likelihood of developing long-term knee osteoarthritis (OA).^{28,40,53} A concurrent or secondary injury to the menisci and/or joint cartilage has been shown to

significantly increase the risk of OA further.^{10,15,21} Consequently, the potential concerns of an ACL injury are particularly serious for individuals sustaining such an injury at a very young age. Although recent evidence suggests that the risk of sustaining an ACL injury during childhood or adolescence is increasing,⁴¹ the true incidence of ACL injuries in the skeletally immature population is unknown.^{3,45} Additionally, the incidence of new secondary meniscus and cartilage injuries in skeletally immature children with

ACL injury is unknown because of the lack of prospective studies.²⁵ The literature is limited to retrospective studies and case series in which the presence of meniscus injuries in children who have had ACL reconstructions has been described.^{12,19} Our recent review³⁷ reports the prevalence of concurrent meniscus injuries to range from 26% to 90% in studies on surgical treatment of ACL injuries in skeletally immature patients.^{4,13} Lawrence et al²⁶ reported a significant increase in nonrepairable medial meniscus tears and lateral compartment chondral injuries at the time of surgery in children undergoing ACL reconstruction more than 12 weeks after injury. Furthermore, Dumont et al⁸ recently described an association between increased weight (>65 kg), age (>15 years), and time from injury to surgical treatment (>150 days) and medial meniscus and cartilage injuries in 370 patients under 19 years of age. Tissues in children and adolescents are believed to have a better ability to regain normal structure and function after traumatic injury compared with mature tissues.^{18,29,47} Hence, it is of utmost importance that reliable and accurate diagnostic modalities are used in prospective studies to monitor the intra-articular structures in individuals who sustain an ACL injury at a young age. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is recommended as the preferred imaging modality in diagnosing ACL injuries and concomitant injuries in skeletally immature children and adolescents.¹⁶

Conventional wisdom in the pediatric ACL community is that early surgery is needed to avoid meniscus and joint cartilage injuries. However, to our knowledge no studies have prospectively investigated the integrity of the menisci and joint cartilage after a nonoperative treatment algorithm of ACL injuries in skeletally immature children. The aim of the present investigation was to investigate the incidence of new injuries to the menisci and joint cartilage, using bilateral 3.0-T MRI, in a prospective cohort of ACL-injured skeletally immature children after a nonoperative treatment algorithm.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study prospectively investigated the integrity of the ACL, the menisci, and the joint cartilage in 40 consecutively recruited skeletally immature children, after traumatic ACL injuries sustained at age 12 years and younger. The study was approved by the regional ethical committee, and all subjects and their parents signed a written informed consent before inclusion. The rights of the subjects were protected by the Declaration of Helsinki. All children were recruited from an ongoing prospective cohort study in which the functional and clinical outcomes of ACL injuries in skeletally immature children are being investigated. The prospective cohort study was initiated in 2006, and the inclusion criterion was a traumatic

complete intrasubstance ACL tear sustained at age 12 years and younger.²⁷ Tibial and femoral ACL avulsion fractures were exclusion criteria in the study. The diagnosis was confirmed through conventional diagnostic MRI (dMRI), a positive Lachman test, and an instrumented measured sagittal side-to-side difference of 3 mm or more with maximum manual force (KT-1000 arthrometer, Med-Metric, San Diego, California).⁶ The present study results are based on the dMRI of the injured knee and 2 subsequent unilateral MRI investigations of both knees with a 3.0-T machine (MRI1 and MRI2). All 3.0-T MRIs were performed on the same unit, whereas the dMRIs were performed before referral to our center, in numerous locations with various protocols and lower magnet field strength.

Recruitment and Treatment Algorithm

The first 40 children enrolled in the prospective cohort study underwent bilateral 3.0-T MRI scans of both knees in 2009 and 2010 (MRI1) and 2011 and 2012 (MRI2), with a time interval between investigations of 1 to 2 years. All children had undergone the treatment algorithm of Moksnes et al,³⁸ which advocates a primary nonoperative treatment approach in skeletally immature children after ACL injury (see the Appendix, available in the online version of this article at <http://ajsm.sagepub.com/supplemental>). The aim of the treatment algorithm, initiated after diagnosis, was to provide individually tailored rehabilitation programs that enabled the child to return to activity without ACL reconstruction. As part of the algorithm, the children were provided with a custom-made and individually adjusted knee brace, which they were instructed to wear during physical education in school and all other recreational sports activities. Reconstruction of the ACL was considered if a child reported 2 giving-way episodes with subsequent knee effusion and/or pain within any given period of 3 months or if the child sustained a secondary symptomatic meniscus injury.³⁸

To monitor the activity level of the children, an online activity survey regarding participation in specific activities was e-mailed to the families monthly during the course of the study, starting at MRI1 and ending at MRI2. No specific activity limitations were advocated.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

The overall sensitivity and specificity of MRI for the detection of ACL tears in children are reported to be 95% and 88%, respectively.²⁷ The sensitivity for acute ACL tears has been reported to be 94% for an abnormal angle with the Blumensaat line, 79% for increased signal intensity in the substance of the ligament, and 21% for discontinuity in the ligament.²⁷ With regard to meniscus injuries in

*Address correspondence to Håvard Moksnes, PT, MSc, Norwegian Research Center for Active Rehabilitation (NAR), Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Department of Sport Medicine, PO Box 4014 Ullevål Stadion, 0806 Oslo, Norway (e-mail: havard.moksnes@nih.no).

†Norwegian Research Center for Active Rehabilitation (NAR), Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Department of Sport Medicine, Oslo, Norway.

‡Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Oslo, and Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Department of Sport Medicine, Oslo, Norway.

The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest in the authorship and publication of this contribution.

adolescents, MRI has been shown to demonstrate injuries with a respective sensitivity and specificity of 92% and 87% for the medial meniscus and 93% and 95% for the lateral meniscus.³⁰ In children younger than 12 years, however, the sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing meniscus injuries are reported to be significantly lower, 62% and 78%, respectively.²² Sensitivity and specificity for cartilage injuries in children have to our knowledge not been documented, although von Engelhardt et al⁵² reported that the probability of corresponding arthroscopic findings with 3.0-T MRI was between 29% and 74% in adults.

Data from the dMRIs were described by different radiologists and extracted from the medical reports. At MRI1 and MRI2, all examinations were administered by the same MRI physicist, using a standardized protocol on a single MRI unit (Signa HDxt 3.0-T; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) with a transmit/receive 8-channel phased-array knee coil. All patients had sagittal, coronal, and axial proton density (PD)-weighted fat-suppressed (FS) images.^{36,46} The sagittal PD-weighted images had slice thickness of 3 mm, while the coronal and axial images had 2 mm. Additionally, oblique T2-weighted sagittal images with slice thickness of 2 mm were acquired. Oblique sagittal images along the plane of the ACL have been suggested to better detect subtle, incomplete tears.¹⁶ Imaging matrix for all images was 384 × 288.

All 3.0-T MRI scans, including the injured and contralateral uninjured side, were analyzed by 2 experienced MRI radiologists, with 15 and 13 years of musculoskeletal MRI experience, respectively. The radiologists analyzed images independently using a Centricity DICOM Viewer (version 2.2; GE Medical Systems). Both radiologists were informed about the study inclusion criteria, although they were blinded with regard to which knee (left or right) had been injured and treated for a given child before MRI1 and MRI2. After the classification of injuries from both radiologists, a consensus meeting was held to reach agreement in cases where discrepancies between the individual readings were present. Each case with initial disagreement was reinvestigated by both radiologists together until consensus based on the classification criteria was reached.

MRI Analysis and Classification

The ACL was classified according to criteria as described by van Dyck et al.⁴⁹ An ACL that could be followed as a continuous band of low signal intensity from the femoral to the tibial attachment with the ACL fibers parallel to the Blumensaat line was considered a normal ACL. Replacement of the ACL by an edematous mass with nonvisualization of its fibers and a wavy contour of the ligament were considered signs of a total ACL rupture.²²

The vascularization and maturation of the menisci have been suggested to increase the difficulty in correctly diagnosing pathologic conditions in children.^{42,54} However, Sanchez et al⁴⁴ and Major et al³⁰ reported the accuracy of dMRI for meniscus injuries in children and adolescents to be acceptable. From MRI1 and MRI2, the menisci were classified as being normal or as having a horizontal

TABLE 1
Activities Performed at the Time of
ACL Injury (N = 41 knees)

Activity	No.	%
Alpine skiing	20	48.4
Soccer	10	24.4
Team handball	2	4.9
Playground	2	4.9
Bicycle	2	4.9
Trampoline	2	4.9
Cross-country skiing	1	2.4
Motocross	1	2.4
Ski jumping	1	2.4

rupture, a longitudinal rupture, a radial rupture, or a high signal without rupture.^{22,33,48} A meniscus was considered torn if there was an abnormal signal that broke through the articular surface of the meniscus in 2 or more images (sagittal and coronal PD-weighted FS images),^{5,7} with particular attention to differentiation between normal vascular structures known to be present in children (high signal without rupture) and grade 3 ruptures.⁴⁸

Articular cartilage was described as normal or injured using the International Cartilage Repair Society classification of cartilage injuries,² modified to MRI observations; grade 0 (normal signal intensity and surface contour), grade 1 (abnormal signal in the superficial cartilage with intact thickness), grade 2 (structural changes in <50% of the thickness), grade 3 (structural changes in ≥50% of cartilage thickness), and grade 4 (full-thickness abnormality to the subchondral bone). Bone marrow lesions (BMLs) were defined as areas of high signal intensity located adjacent to the articular cartilage and present on 2 or more images.¹¹ At MRI1 and MRI2, the epiphyseal growth plates were classified as open when the distal femoral and proximal physes were not completely fused.⁹

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were extracted from the patient's medical records and the scoring forms and analyzed with the Predictive Analytics SoftWare (PASW) Statistics (version 18.0.2, April 2, 2010; SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). The frequency of observed menisci with high signal without rupture between the ACL-injured and the noninjured side was compared by use of the Fisher exact test.

RESULTS

Forty skeletally immature children with a total intrasubstance ACL injury (41 knees) verified with dMRI and clinical examination (Lachman and KT-1000) were followed using 3.0-T MRI scans (MRI1 and MRI2). There were 14 (35%) girls and 26 (65%) boys, with an average age at injury of 11.0 ± 1.4 years (mean ± standard deviation). The majority of injuries had occurred during alpine skiing or soccer (Table 1). Demographic data on all children are

TABLE 2
Descriptive Statistics of Total Population and Nonoperated Children^a

	All Children (n = 40)		Nonoperated Children (n = 27)	
	Mean (\pm SD)	Min-Max	Mean (\pm SD)	Min-Max
Sex, male/female, No. (%)	26/14 (65/35)		21/6 (78/22)	
Side, left/right, No. (%)	24/17 (59/41)		17/11 (61/39)	
	All Knees (n = 41 knees)		Nonoperated Knees (n = 28 knees)	
	Mean (\pm SD)	Min-Max	Mean (\pm SD)	Min-Max
Age at time of injury, y	11.0 (\pm 1.4)	8.2-12.9	10.8 (\pm 1.4)	8.2-12.9
Age at time of MRI2, y	14.9 (\pm 1.7)	11.0-17.8	14.5 (\pm 1.8)	11.0-17.7
Time from injury to diagnosis, y	0.8 (\pm 0.8)	0.1-2.8	0.8 (\pm 0.8)	0.1-2.8
Time from diagnosis to MRI1, y	1.3 (\pm 1.2)	0.1-3.2	1.2 (\pm 1.2)	0.1-3.2
Time from MRI1 to MRI2, y	1.7 (\pm 0.1)	1.4-2.0	1.7 (\pm 0.1)	1.4-2.0

^aMax, maximum; Min, minimum; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SD, standard deviation.

presented in Table 2. The response rate for the monthly survey regarding participation in activities was 88.3% (636 of 720 surveys were returned), with 88.0% confirming monthly participation in pivoting sports and/or physical education classes in school between MRI1 and MRI2.

At dMRI all children had open growth plates, whereas 36 (87.8%) and 27 (65.9%) of the injured knees were classified as having open growth plates at MRI1 and MRI2, respectively.

The final follow-up (MRI2) was performed 3.8 \pm 1.4 years after injury, and knees in 27 children (28 knees) were still nonreconstructed. In total, 8 (19.5%) of the 41 ACL-injured knees underwent surgical treatment for meniscus injuries in the follow-up period. Six were performed concurrently with ACL reconstruction and 2 without ACL reconstruction. No surgical procedures for cartilage injuries were performed.

At dMRI, the number of knees with meniscus injuries was 19 (46.3%): 5 (12.2%) medial meniscus, 13 (31.7%) lateral meniscus, and 1 (2.4%) medial and lateral meniscus. At MRI1, 7 of the meniscus injuries described at the dMRI were not recognized (6 lateral meniscus and 1 medial meniscus). Furthermore, 3 new lateral and 4 new medial meniscus injuries were detected at MRI1 (Table 3).

The prevalence of meniscus injuries was 28.5% at both MRI1 and MRI2 in the 28 nonoperated knees. The incidence of new meniscus injuries between MRI1 and MRI2 was 3.6% (n = 1, lateral horizontal rupture) in the nonoperated children (Table 4). An overview of meniscus injuries and cartilage injuries with subclassification into type of injury at MRI1 and MRI2 is shown in Table 4. There was no significant difference in the frequency of menisci classified with high signal without rupture between the ACL-injured knee and the noninjured knee at MRI1 ($P = .71$), or MRI2 ($P = .32$).

Among the 28 nonreconstructed knees, the prevalence of meniscus injuries at dMRI was 28.6%: 2 (7.1%) medial and 6 (21.4%) lateral. Two of these required surgical treatment without concomitant ACL reconstruction due to pain and restricted range of motion (1 medial meniscus repair and 1 lateral meniscectomy). Among the 13 children who underwent ACL reconstructions, the prevalence of meniscus injuries at dMRI was 84.6%: 3 (23.1%) medial, 7

(53.8%) lateral, and 1 (7.7%) medial and lateral (Table 3). Six (46.2%) of these required meniscus surgery concurrently with the ACL reconstructions (2 medial meniscus repairs, 2 lateral meniscus repairs, 1 medial meniscectomy, and 1 lateral meniscectomy). Thus, 1 medial meniscus injury and 4 lateral meniscus injuries observed at dMRI were not identified or were judged insignificant by the surgeon when the ACL reconstructions were performed. One cartilage injury on the medial femoral condyle (MFC) was observed, and no treatment was performed.

The prevalence of knees with cartilage injuries was 3.6% at MRI1 and 7.1% at MRI2, with 1 new injury to the medial tibial plateau (MTP) (Table 4). Four BMLs were identified at MRI1 (patella, n = 2; MFC, n = 1; lateral femoral condyle [LFC], n = 1), whereas 1 new BML appeared and 2 remained at MRI2 (MFC, n = 1; LFC, n = 1; MTC, n = 1). Both BMLs in the patella had resolved from MRI1 to MRI2.

Thirteen (32%) knees underwent ACL reconstruction according to the surgical indication criteria for the study. The specific indications for the ACL reconstructions were persistent instability (n = 8), a symptomatic meniscus injury (n = 4), or unacceptable, reduced activity level (n = 1). The age at time of ACL reconstruction was 13.2 \pm 0.9 years, and the time from injury to surgery was 1.6 \pm 0.9 years.

In the contralateral uninjured knees, 2 medial meniscus injuries (1 horizontal and 1 longitudinal) and 1 knee with cartilage injury (MFC) were identified at MRI1 (Table 4). No new meniscus injuries occurred between MRI1 and MRI2, while the knee with MFC cartilage injury also had a cartilage injury at the LFC at MRI2. One BML in the MFC was present in the same knee at MRI1 and MRI2. No surgical procedures were performed in these knees.

DISCUSSION

This prospective cohort study is the first to evaluate the incidence of new meniscus and cartilage injuries from dMRI to the final follow-up (MRI2) in skeletally immature children after a nonoperative treatment algorithm after

TABLE 3
Findings in Meniscus and Joint Cartilage at Diagnostic MRI, MRI1, and MRI2^a

Patient No.	Diagnostic MRI	3.0-T MRI1	3.0-T MRI2	Surgical Meniscus Procedures
1		ACLR+MM ^b	ACLR+MM	
2	LM ^c			
3	LM ^c	ACLR	ACLR+MM ^b	
4	LM ^c			
5				
6		MM ^b	MM	MM repair before MRI1
7				
8		LM ^b	LM	
9	LM	ACLR+LTC	ACLR+LM+LTC	LM repair
10		ACLR+LM ^b +LTC	ACLR+LM+LTC	
11				
12				
13				
14	LM	ACLR+LM+MFC ^d	ACLR+LM	LM meniscectomy posterior horn
15, right				
15, left				
16	LM ^c	ACLR	ACLR	
17				
18				
19	LM	LM	LM	
20	LM	LM+MFC+LFC ^d	LM+MFC	
21	LM+MM	ACLR+LM	ACLR+LM	MM repair and LM meniscectomy posterior horn
22	LM	ACLR	ACLR	LM repair
23				
24	LM ^c			LM meniscectomy posterior horn
25	MM	MM+MFC+MTC	ACLR+MFC+MTC	MM resection bucket handle
26	MM	ACLR	ACLR	MM repair
27	MM	MM	ACLR+MM	
28				
29	MM	LM ^b +MM	LM+MM+MFC+MTC	
30	LM	LM	LM+MM ^b	
31				
32				
33				
34	LM ^c		ACLR	
35				
36				
37	LM	LM	LM	
38				
39	MM ^c			
40		MM ^b	MM	

^aACLR, anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction; LFC, lateral femoral condyle; LM, lateral meniscus; LTC, lateral tibial condyle; MFC, medial femoral condyle; MM, medial meniscus; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MTC, medial tibial condyle.

^bNew meniscus injury.

^cInjury resolved from diagnostic MRI to MRI1.

^dInjury resolved from MRI1 to MRI2.

ACL injury. The main results were that the incidence of new meniscus injuries was 19.5% (n = 8) during the 3.8 ± 1.4-year prospective follow-up of 41 ACL-injured skeletally immature knees. Thirteen (31.7%) of the included children underwent ACL reconstruction, of whom 6 had a surgical procedure of the menisci performed (2 medial meniscus repairs, 2 lateral meniscus repairs, 1 medial meniscectomy, and 1 lateral meniscectomy). Two (7.7%) of the children who remained nonreconstructed throughout the study underwent arthroscopic treatment for meniscus injuries (1 medial meniscus repair and 1

lateral meniscectomy). Thus, the prevalence of meniscus surgery was 19.5% in the cohort of 41 knees. The prevalence of meniscus injuries in the whole cohort was 46.3% when injuries detected at the time of surgery (surgical treatment, n = 15) and MRI2 (no surgical treatment, n = 25) were combined. Twenty-five (63.4%) of the included children did not undergo any surgical treatments during the follow-up, and the vast majority of the 40 children (88.0%) reported a high rate of participation in strenuous activities during the follow-up period, indicating that they were functioning well without restrictive symptoms.

TABLE 4
Findings at MRI1 and MRI2 for Children With Nonreconstructed Knees (n = 27 children)^a

	ACL-Injured Knees (n = 28)		Noninjured Knees (n = 26)	
	MRI1	MRI2	MRI1	MRI2
ACL				
Normal	0	0	26 (100)	26 (100)
Total rupture	28 (100)	28 (100)	0	0
Medial meniscus, injuries	4 (14.3)	4 (14.3)	2 (7.7)	2 (7.7)
Normal	16 (57.1)	17 (60.7)	21 (80.8)	20 (76.9)
Horizontal	1 (3.6)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)
Longitudinal	3 (10.7)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)
Radial	0	0	0	0
High signal without rupture	8 (28.6)	7 (25.0)	3 (11.5)	4 (15.4)
Lateral meniscus, injuries	6 (21.4)	7 (25.0)	0	0
Normal	20 (71.4)	21 (75.0)	25 (96.1)	25 (96.1)
Horizontal	1 (3.6)	2 (7.1) ^b	0	0
Longitudinal	4 (14.3)	3 (10.7)	0	0
Radial	1 (3.6)	1 (3.6)	0	0
High signal without rupture	2 (7.1)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)
Knees with meniscus injury	8 (28.5)	8 (28.5)	2 (7.7)	2 (7.7)
Normal	20 (71.4)	20 (71.4)	24 (92.3)	24 (92.3)
Medial	2 (7.1)	2 (7.1)	2 (7.7)	2 (7.7)
Lateral	4 (14.3)	4 (14.3)	0	0
Medial and lateral	2 (7.1)	2 (7.1)	0	0
Knees with cartilage injury	1 (3.6)	2 (7.1)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)
MFC	1 (grade 4)	2 (grade 3) ^b	1 (grade 3)	1 (grade 2)
LFC	0	0	0	1 (grade 1) ^b
MTC	0	1 (grade 2) ^b	0	0
LTC	0	0	0	0
Patella	0	0	0	0
Trochlea	0	0	0	0
Bone marrow lesions	4 (14.3)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)

^aData are expressed as n (%). LFC, lateral femoral condyle; LTC, lateral tibial condyle; MFC, medial femoral condyle; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MTC, medial tibial condyle.

^bNew injury.

The incidence of new meniscus injuries (19.5%) in this cohort of ACL-injured skeletally immature children contrasts with the common beliefs of orthopaedic surgeons and previous retrospective studies.^{26,32} Dumont et al⁸ reported an overall prevalence of meniscus injuries of 43.2% in a retrospective study on 370 pediatric patients who had undergone ACL reconstructions. However, in their subgroup of 72 children who were aged 13 years and younger, the investigators found that 29.2% of children had meniscus injuries at the time of ACL reconstruction, indicating that the youngest children may be less vulnerable to meniscus injuries compared with their older counterparts. The investigators reported an association between the time from injury to surgery and the presence of meniscus injuries, although not in the youngest subgroup.⁸ Conversely, Lawrence et al²⁶ retrospectively reviewed the surgical records from 70 skeletally immature children and found a significant increase of nonrepairable medial meniscus injuries and lateral cartilage injuries if ACL reconstruction was performed more than 12 weeks after injury. Additionally, Millett et al³⁵ found an association between time from injury to surgery and an increase in medial meniscus injuries. Four of the largest

retrospective series published have reported prevalences of meniscus injuries ranging from 35% to 69% at the time of ACL reconstruction.^{17,23,39,43} All the patients in these previous studies underwent ACL reconstruction within 12 months after the acute injury. Thus, the prevalence of meniscus injuries in the present investigation is comparable to what has been previously reported in ACL-reconstructed children in the literature. However, all previous studies reporting the presence of meniscus injuries at the time of surgery are retrospective. Retrospective studies that have solely evaluated children with ACL reconstruction may be biased toward reporting high numbers of meniscus injuries because children who have been successful through nonoperative treatment will not be included using this study design.

The strength of the present study is the prospective design and the use of a reliable measurement tool at MRI1 and MRI2. Technological advances have led to MRI systems with higher signal intensity, and preliminary clinical studies suggest that 3.0-T MRI provides convincing visualization of the hyaline cartilage and menisci with good diagnostic values, although arthroscopy is still the gold standard for the evaluation of intra-articular

abnormalities.^{14,34,50,52} Although we do not have arthroscopic confirmation of the injuries, data from previous studies have indicated a high correlation between MRI findings and arthroscopy with the current classification of meniscus injuries.^{5,7} However, the magnetic susceptibility artifacts may be larger at 3.0 T, and the suggested increased values of enhanced magnetic fields are still not confirmed.¹⁶

The prevalence of meniscus injuries in the 28 nonreconstructed knees was 28.5% at both MRI1 and MRI2. Among the nonreconstructed knees, which on average had been ACL deficient for 3.8 ± 1.4 years at the time of MRI2, 5 new meniscus injuries (3 medial and 2 lateral) occurred after the dMRIs, with only 1 occurring between MRI1 and MRI2. Simultaneously, 4 injuries (1 medial and 3 lateral) from the dMRIs were not observed at MRI1 or MRI2. Interestingly, we also found the prevalence for meniscus injuries in the uninjured knee to be 7.7% within our population. The results are comparable to the rate of meniscus injuries that Dumont et al⁸ reported in ACL-reconstructed children 13 years of age and younger and indicate that nonreconstructed knees in the youngest skeletally immature children seem to be less susceptible to meniscus injuries than are those of children who sustain ACL injuries at an older age.

Samora et al⁴³ found that lateral meniscus tears were more common than medial meniscus tears in skeletally immature children with ACL injury. The results from the dMRI in the present study showed that lateral injuries were more common after injury, although we were not able to reproduce this finding at MRI1 and MRI2 because the distributions of lateral and medial meniscus injuries then were comparable (Table 4). An explanation for the discrepancy may be that minor lateral meniscus tears heal in children, which several authors have suggested is possible because of significant vascularization.^{1,24,51} Hence, Samora et al⁴³ evaluated children at the time of ACL reconstruction, which was performed within 3 months of the ACL injury. The time from injury to follow-up was substantially longer in the present prospective investigation, which may have enabled the menisci to naturally heal with time.

The majority of meniscus injuries in the ACL-injured knees were longitudinal ruptures (Table 4). Additionally, the prevalence of a high signal without tear in the ACL-deficient knees at MRI1 was 28.6% in the medial menisci and 7.1% in the lateral menisci. The corresponding prevalences at MRI2 were 25.0% and 3.6%, respectively. In the noninjured knees, the equivalent prevalences for menisci with high signal without rupture at MRI1 were 11.5% (medial) and 3.8% (lateral), and at MRI2, 15.4% and 3.8%, respectively. There was no significant difference between injured and noninjured knees with regard to the observed high signals without rupture (MRI1, $P = .71$; MRI2, $P = .32$), indicating that the high signals found in this investigation were attributable to maturing healthy menisci and were not signs of a degenerative process or rupture. Clinicians should be aware of this entity, which is common in children, to make sure that unnecessary arthroscopic treatments are not initiated.⁴⁸

The prevalence of cartilage injuries in ACL-injured children has been investigated to a lesser extent than that of meniscus injuries.^{29,31} Jones et al¹⁸ demonstrated that the thickness of uninjured cartilage increases during adolescence in noninjured individuals and that highly active healthy children develop thicker cartilage compared with more sedentary children. This knowledge supports the assumption that the joint cartilage in children is adaptable to load.²⁹ The dMRIs did not reveal any cartilage injuries in this investigation; however, given the differences in magnet strength and the variety of radiologists involved, we focused on the changes from MRI1 to MRI2. We found that 1 (3.6%) new cartilage injury was observed in the ACL-deficient knees between MRI1 and MRI2, and the overall prevalence in the ACL-deficient knees was 7.1%. No surgical treatment procedures for cartilage injuries were performed in the cohort. One of the children also had cartilage injuries in the noninjured knee. These results are in contrast with previous retrospective studies,^{8,20,26} in which an increase in lateral cartilage injuries has been associated with delayed surgical treatment after ACL injury. The majority of cartilage abnormalities were localized on the medial condyles, a finding that is comparable to reports in adolescent and adult ACL-injured patients.¹⁵ Minor changes in the grading of the observed cartilage injuries were observed (Table 4), although considering the relatively low accuracy in MRI-based grading⁴⁷ of cartilage injuries, these changes are to be considered tentative and should be interpreted with caution as they have not been arthroscopically confirmed. According to von Engelhart et al,⁵² 3.0-T MRI provides convincing visualization of the hyaline cartilage with good diagnostic values. However, they also point out that the positive predictive values seem to be low for all grades of lesions, and arthroscopic evaluations cannot be substituted by 3.0-T MRIs. One of the 2 observed BMLs resolved from MRI1 to MRI2, which is in accordance with the relatively low incidence of meniscus and cartilage injuries, as BMLs may be an indication of recurrent knee instability and repetitive subluxations.

The present study is encouraging because the meniscus and cartilage injuries were few (3.6%), and the rate of participation was high in the children who did not have ACL reconstruction during the follow-up period between MRI1 and MRI2. However, 13 of the 41 knees had to go through an ACL reconstruction because of instability and meniscal symptoms, with a prevalence of meniscus injuries of 46.1%. The clinical challenge will be to identify these patients before a secondary meniscus tear.

This study was not designed or intended to compare the incidence of secondary injuries between nonoperative and surgical treatment, as such a comparison would have required a randomized treatment study design. This study has some limitations. The dMRIs were of various qualities and were performed by different radiologists than those who performed the 3.0-T MRIs. Thus, the changes in meniscus and cartilage injuries from dMRI to MRI1 must be interpreted with caution. Also, cartilage injuries were evaluated according to modified ICRS classification criteria, which are not validated for MRI assessments.

Additionally, although this is the first prospective study investigating the integrity of intra-articular structures after ACL injuries in skeletally immature children, the overall follow-up time from injury of 3.8 ± 1.4 years may be too short to firmly conclude that nonoperative treatment is associated with a low incidence of secondary injuries in the long term. Nonetheless, it might be sufficient time for individuals who would prefer to delay surgery until skeletal maturity.

CONCLUSION

The incidence of new meniscus injuries after the dMRI was 19.5%. The incidence of new meniscus and cartilage injuries in the nonreconstructed knees was 3.6% from MRI1 to MRI2. A minority (31.7%) of the included children underwent ACL reconstruction because of persistent instability or symptomatic meniscus injury during the 3.8 ± 1.4 -year follow-up. The vast majority (88%) of children continued being physically active in sports and their school community. The prevalence of knees that underwent surgical treatment for meniscus injuries was 19.5%, while the overall proportion of knees with observed meniscus injuries was 46.3%. The results from this prospective cohort study provide valuable new knowledge to physicians with regard to clinical decision making for skeletally immature children after ACL injury.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine at the Oslo University Hospital, with Anne Hilde Farstad, Tone Elise Orheim Døli, Wibeke Nordhøy, and Øivind Giertsen, for the development of the MRI protocol and management of the MRI assessments. The authors also thank radiologists Tariq Rana and Arne Larmo for their contribution to the analysis of MRIs.

REFERENCES

1. Arnoczky SP, Warren RF. Microvasculature of the human meniscus. *Am J Sports Med.* 1982;10(2):90-95.
2. Brittberg M, Winalski CS. Evaluation of cartilage injuries and repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85(suppl 2):58-69.
3. Caine D, Maffulli N, Caine C. Epidemiology of injury in child and adolescent sports: injury rates, risk factors, and prevention. *Clin Sports Med.* 2008;27(1):19-50, vii.
4. Courvoisier A, Grimaldi M, Plaweski S. Good surgical outcome of transphyseal ACL reconstruction in skeletally immature patients using four-strand hamstring graft. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2011;19(4):588-591.
5. Crues JV III, Mink J, Levy TL, Lotysch M, Stoller DW. Meniscal tears of the knee: accuracy of MR imaging. *Radiology.* 1987;164(2):445-448.
6. Daniel DM, Stone ML, Sachs R, Malcom L. Instrumented measurement of anterior knee laxity in patients with acute anterior cruciate ligament disruption. *Am J Sports Med.* 1985;13(6):401-407.
7. De Smet AA, Tuite MJ. Use of the "two-slice-touch" rule for the MRI diagnosis of meniscal tears. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006;187(4):911-914.
8. Dumont GD, Hogue GD, Padalecki JR, Okoro N, Wilson PL. Meniscal and chondral injuries associated with pediatric anterior cruciate ligament tears: relationship of treatment time and patient-specific factors. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40(9):2128-2133.
9. Dvorak J, George J, Junge A, Hodler J. Age determination by magnetic resonance imaging of the wrist in adolescent male football players. *Br J Sports Med.* 2007;41:45-52.
10. Englund M, Lohmander LS. Risk factors for symptomatic knee osteoarthritis fifteen to twenty-two years after meniscectomy. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004;50(9):2811-2819.
11. Frobell RB, Le Graverand MP, Buck R, et al. The acutely ACL injured knee assessed by MRI: changes in joint fluid, bone marrow lesions, and cartilage during the first year. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2009;17(2):161-167.
12. Frosch KH, Stengel D, Brodhun T, et al. Outcomes and risks of operative treatment of rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament in children and adolescents. *Arthroscopy.* 2010;26(11):1539-1550.
13. Fuchs R, Wheatley W, Uribe JW, Hechtman KS, Zvijac JE, Schurhoff MR. Intra-articular anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using patellar tendon allograft in the skeletally immature patient. *Arthroscopy.* 2002;18(8):824-828.
14. Griffin N, Joubert I, Lomas DJ, Bearcroft PW, Dixon AK. High resolution imaging of the knee on 3-Tesla MRI: a pictorial review. *Clin Anat.* 2008;21(5):374-382.
15. Heir S, Nerhus TK, Rotterud JH, et al. Focal cartilage defects in the knee impair quality of life as much as severe osteoarthritis: a comparison of knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score in 4 patient categories scheduled for knee surgery. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010;38:231-237.
16. Ho-Fung VM, Jaimes C, Jaramillo D. MR imaging of ACL injuries in pediatric and adolescent patients. *Clin Sports Med.* 2011;30(4):707-726.
17. Hui C, Roe J, Ferguson D, Waller A, Salmon L, Pinczewski L. Outcome of anatomic transphyseal anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in Tanner stage 1 and 2 patients with open physes. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40(5):1093-1098.
18. Jones G, Ding C, Glisson M, Hynes K, Ma D, Cicuttini F. Knee articular cartilage development in children: a longitudinal study of the effect of sex, growth, body composition, and physical activity. *Pediatr Res.* 2003;54(2):230-236.
19. Kaeding CC, Flanigan D, Donaldson C. Surgical techniques and outcomes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in preadolescent patients. *Arthroscopy.* 2010;26(11):1530-1538.
20. Kannus P, Jarvinen M. Knee ligament injuries in adolescents: eight year follow-up of conservative management. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1988;70(5):772-776.
21. Keays SL, Newcombe PA, Bullock-Saxton JE, Bullock MI, Keays AC. Factors involved in the development of osteoarthritis following anterior cruciate ligament surgery. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010;38:455-463.
22. Kocher MS, DiCanzio J, Zurakowski D, Micheli LJ. Diagnostic performance of clinical examination and selective magnetic resonance imaging in the evaluation of intraarticular knee disorders in children and adolescents. *Am J Sports Med.* 2001;29(3):292-296.
23. Kocher MS, Smith JT, Zoric BJ, Lee B, Micheli LJ. Transphyseal anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in skeletally immature pubescent adolescents. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007;89(12):2632-2639.
24. Kramer DE, Micheli LJ. Meniscal tears and discoid meniscus in children: diagnosis and treatment. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2009;17(11):698-707.
25. Kraus T, Heidari N, Svehlik M, Schneider F, Sperl M, Linhart W. Outcome of repaired unstable meniscal tears in children and adolescents. *Acta Orthop.* 2012;83(3):261-266.
26. Lawrence JT, Argawal N, Ganley TJ. Degeneration of the knee joint in skeletally immature patients with a diagnosis of an anterior cruciate ligament tear: is there harm in delay of treatment? *Am J Sports Med.* 2011;39(12):2582-2587.
27. Lee K, Siegel MJ, Lau DM, Hildebolt CF, Matava MJ. Anterior cruciate ligament tears: MR imaging-based diagnosis in a pediatric population. *Radiology.* 1999;213(3):697-704.
28. Lohmander LS, Ostenberg A, Englund M, Roos H. High prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, pain, and functional limitations in female soccer

- players twelve years after anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;50(10):3145-3152.
29. Macmull S, Skinner JA, Bentley G, Carrington RW, Briggs TW. Treating articular cartilage injuries of the knee in young people. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c998.
 30. Major NM, Beard LN Jr, Helms CA. Accuracy of MR imaging of the knee in adolescents. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2003;180(1):17-19.
 31. Micheli LJ, Moseley JB, Anderson AF, et al. Articular cartilage defects of the distal femur in children and adolescents: treatment with autologous chondrocyte implantation. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2006;26(4):455-460.
 32. Milewski MD, Beck NA, Lawrence JT, Ganley TJ. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the young athlete: a treatment algorithm for the skeletally immature. *Clin Sports Med*. 2011;30(4):801-810.
 33. Milewski MD, Sanders TG, Miller MD. MRI-arthroscopy correlation: the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2011;93(18):1735-1745.
 34. Miller TT. MR imaging of the knee. *Sports Med Arthrosc*. 2009;17(1):56-67.
 35. Millett PJ, Willis AA, Warren RF. Associated injuries in pediatric and adolescent anterior cruciate ligament tears: does a delay in treatment increase the risk of meniscal tear? *Arthroscopy*. 2002;18(9):955-959.
 36. Mohr A, Roemer FW, Genant HK, Liess C. Using fat-saturated proton density-weighted MR imaging to evaluate articular cartilage. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2003;181(1):280-281.
 37. Moksnes H, Engebretsen L, Risberg MA. The current evidence for treatment of ACL injuries in children is low: a systematic review. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2012;94(12):1112-1119.
 38. Moksnes H, Engebretsen L, Risberg MA. Management of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in skeletally immature individuals. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2012;42(3):172-183.
 39. Nikolaou P, Kalliakmanis A, Bousgas D, Zourntos S. Intraarticular stabilization following anterior cruciate ligament injury in children and adolescents. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2011;19(5):801-805.
 40. Oiestad BE, Engebretsen L, Storheim K, Risberg MA. Knee osteoarthritis after anterior cruciate ligament injury: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37(7):1434-1443.
 41. Parkkari J, Pasanen K, Mattila VM, Kannus P, Rimpela A. The risk for a cruciate ligament injury of the knee in adolescents and young adults: a population-based cohort study of 46 500 people with a 9 year follow-up. *Br J Sports Med*. 2008;42(6):422-426.
 42. Prince JS, Laor T, Bean JA. MRI of anterior cruciate ligament injuries and associated findings in the pediatric knee: changes with skeletal maturation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2005;185(3):756-762.
 43. Samora WP III, Palmer R, Klingele KE. Meniscal pathology associated with acute anterior cruciate ligament tears in patients with open physes. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2011;31(3):272-276.
 44. Sanchez TR, Jadhav SP, Swischuk LE. MR imaging of pediatric trauma. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am*. 2009;17(3):439-450.
 45. Shanmugam C, Maffulli N. Sports injuries in children. *Br Med Bull*. 2008;86:33-57.
 46. Sonin AH, Pensy RA, Mulligan ME, Hatem S. Grading articular cartilage of the knee using fast spin-echo proton density-weighted MR imaging without fat suppression. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2002;179(5):1159-1166.
 47. Steklov N, Srivastava A, Sung KL, Chen PC, Lotz MK, D'Lima DD. Aging-related differences in chondrocyte viscoelastic properties. *Mol Cell Biomech*. 2009;6(2):113-119.
 48. Takeda Y, Ikata T, Yoshida S, Takai H, Kashiwaguchi S. MRI high-signal intensity in the menisci of asymptomatic children. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1998;80(3):463-467.
 49. van Dyck P, De Smet E, Vervys J, et al. Partial tear of the anterior cruciate ligament of the knee: injury patterns on MR imaging. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2012;20(2):256-261.
 50. van Dyck P, Vanhoenacker FM, Gielen JL, Dossche L, Weyler J, Parizel PM. Three-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging of the meniscus of the knee: What about equivocal errors? *Acta Radiol*. 2010;51(3):296-301.
 51. Vanderhave KL, Moravek JE, Sekiya JK, Wojtys EM. Meniscus tears in the young athlete: results of arthroscopic repair. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2011;31(5):496-500.
 52. von Engelhardt LV, Kraft CN, Pennekamp PH, Schild HH, Schmitz A, von Falkenhausen M. The evaluation of articular cartilage lesions of the knee with a 3-Tesla magnet. *Arthroscopy*. 2007;23(5):496-502.
 53. von Porat A, Roos EM, Roos H. High prevalence of osteoarthritis 14 years after an anterior cruciate ligament tear in male soccer players: a study of radiographic and patient relevant outcomes. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2004;63(3):269-273.
 54. Yoo WJ, Lee K, Moon HJ, et al. Meniscal morphologic changes on magnetic resonance imaging are associated with symptomatic discoid lateral meniscal tear in children. *Arthroscopy*. 2012;28(3):330-336.

For reprints and permission queries, please visit SAGE's Web site at <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>