# Identifying variables associated with self-reported emotional reactivity among cancer patients

Cecilia Arvinga, Inger Thormodsena, Sveinung Berntsenc, Karin Nordina, b,d.

- <sup>a</sup> Cancer Centre for Education and Rehabilitation, Department of Oncology and Medical Physics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
- b Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Public Health, Sport and Nutrition, Faculty of Health and Sport Sciences, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway
- Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Norway

E-mail: cecilia.arving@pubcare.uu.se

#### Conclusion

Emotional reactivity was associated with:

- 1. Anxiety
- 2. Married/co-habiting
- 3. Avoidance
- 4. Prostate cancer
- 5. Co-morbidity

# Aim

To identify variables, before start of an individual stress management intervention, associated with emotional reactivity among patients with different cancer diagnosis

#### **Patients**

Consecutive 291 patients (≥ 18 years) with a recent diagnosis of cancer were included [Table 1]. They were scheduled for adjuvant oncology treatment

### **Data collection**

Data were collected by self-report questionnaires including background data, the Hospital and Anxiety Scale (HADS), the Impact of Event Scale (IES) and the Everyday Life Stress Scale (ELSS)

Independent variables for the model was selected according to results from previous studies and clinical expediencies

Table 1: Descriptive results for dependent and independent variables

Total	Breast	Prostate	Colorectal	Testis	Lymphoma
N=291(%)	cancer N=123(42%)	cancer N=124(43%)	cancer N=24(8%)	cancer N=11(4%)	N=9(3%)
Co-morbidities	45(38)	60(49)	8(33)	4(36)	3(33)
Missing Age	4(3)	2(2)			
Mean (SD)	57(9)	68(5)	59(10)	37(11)	58(12)
Minimum(Maximum)	30(81)	55(77)	35(76)	22(55)	32(68)
Marital status					
Married/co-habited	90(73)	104(84)	19(79)	8(73)	7(78)
Widow/separated	22/27\	20/46)	E/24\	2/27\	2/22\
/divorced/single Houshold≥2	33(27) 98(81)	20(16) 104(85)	5(21) 20(839	3(27) 11(100)	2(22) 8(89)
Missing	90(01)	104(65)	20(039	11(100)	0(09)
Children<18 year					
living at home	31(28)	4(4)	6(27)	5(50)	2(33)
Missing	13	29	2	1	3
Income - Nkr	700,000	500,000	704.000	0.40,000	550,000
Mean (SD)	799.000	598.000	764.000	843.000 (468.000)	553.000
(SD) Physical Activity –	(609.000)	(329.000)	(548.000)	(400.000)	(272.000)
fitness centres					
/sports team	37(30)	17(49)	7((29)	2(18)	4(44)
Missing		2			
HADS-Anxiety	E(A)	0/0)	4(0)	F/O)	F/0\
Mean(SD)	5(4)	3(3)	4(3)	5(2)	5(3)
HADS-Depression Mean(SD)	3(10)	2(2)	2(2)	4(3)	2(1)
IES-Intrusion	, ,	` ,	. ,	\ /	
Mean(SD)	9(7)	6(6)	9(8)	10(8)	9(4)
Missing	1	1			
IES-Avoidance	44/0)	0/7)	40(7)	0/7)	0/5)
Mean(SD) Missing	11(8) 1	8(7) 1	10(7)	9(7)	9(5)
ELSS	- '	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Mean(SD)	16(10)	18(11)	14(11)	19(9)	16(8)

Table 2: Results of the stepwise regression analyses

	Unstandardized	95% Confidence	Standardized
ELSS	coefficients	Interval	coefficients
	В	for B	Beta
HADS-Anxiety	1.239	.846 – 1.633	,386***
HADS-Anxiety	1.203	.815 – 1.591	,375***
Marital status (married=1 and single=0)	4,758	1.529 – 7.988	,178**
HADS-Anxiety	.866	.409 – 1.323	,270***
Marital status	4.721	1.535 – 7.906	,177**
IES-Avoidance	.276	.071480	,191**
HADS-Anxiety	.971	.511 – 1.430	,302***
Marital status	4.175	.998 – 7.353	,156**
IES-Avoidance	.293	.090496	,203**
Diagnosis (breast =0 and prostate =1)	3.247	.687 – 5.807	,155*
HADS-Anxiety	.935	.477 – 1.392	,291***
Marital status	4.446	1.279 – 7.613	,166**
IES-Avoidance	.286	.085488	,199**
Diagnosis	2.902	.337 – 5.467	,139*
Co-morbities (=1 and no co-morbities=0)	2.556	.051 – 5.061	,121*

Co-morbities (=1 and no co-morbities=0)

#### Result

Descriptive results are presented in Table 1

A stepwise regression analysis were performed to determine how much of the variance in self-rated emotional reactivity at baseline could be explained by the independent variables

Emotional reactivity was primarily associated with anxiety [Table 2] which explained 14% of the variance and thereafter with marital status (3%), avoidance (2%), diagnosis (2%) and co-morbidities (1%) [Table 2]

## **Trial registration**

ClinicalTrials.gov no.: NCT01588262

Regional committees for medical and health research ethics, Norway no.: 2010/1911

# Acknowledgment

This research has been made possible by grants from the Grieg Foundation and the Norwegian Cancer Society





